

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/630,599	07/30/2003	Chester C. Wood	9/257	8695
28509	7590 06/24/2004		EXAM	INER
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION			LILLING, HERBERT J	
900 RIDGEB			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 368 RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877			1651	1711 200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/630,599	WOOD ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HERBERT J LILLING	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON . cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
,	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-7 are subject to restriction and/or elements.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	E) Notice of	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 1651

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of the request for corrected filing receipt filed December 08, 2003 and the prior art information disclosure statement filed November 17, 2003.
 - 2. Claims 1-7 are present in this instant application.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - A. Whereby the method is employed for treating the condition:
 - a. clot;
 - b. thrombosis;
 - c. embolism;
 - d. hemorrhagic skin necrosis;
 - e. DIC;
 - f. coronary occlusion;
 - g. hypotension;
 - h. leukopenia;
 - i. thrombocytopenia;
 - j. occluded arterial or venous cannulae;
 - k. decreased vascular;
 - I. diminished lung compliance;
 - m. thrombocytopenia;

Art Unit: 1651

- B. Whereby the method involves:
 - i. p38 MAP kinase inhibitor;
 - ii. combination of i. With
 - ii.a. anticoagulant;

or

ii.b. fibrinolytic agent

selected from the following for either above iia or iib.

- 1. rtPA;
- 2. SK;
- 3. UK;
- 4. proUK
- 5. heparin;
- 6. enoxoparin;
- 7. dalteparin;
- 8. coumarin anticoagulants;
- 9. aspirin;
- 10. dipryrimidamole;
- 11. aggrennox;
- 12. clpidogrel;
- 13. abciximab;
- 14. RheoPro;

Art Unit: 1651

- 15. integrilin;
- 16. aggrestat.
- C. Whereby the p38 MAP kinase inhibitor is selected from the formula as noted in claim 6 for the 8 formulas in order:
 - q. formula 1;
 - r. formula 2;
 - s. formula 3;
 - t. formula 4;
 - u. formula 5;
 - v. formula 6;
 - w. formula 7
 - x. formula 8

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Art Unit: 1651

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is required to elect a species and if appropriate any subspecies from each of the above A, B, and C.

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Art Unit: 1651

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is 571-272-0918** and **Fax Number** is (703) 872-9306 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is 571-272-0926. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (703) 308-2034 Art Unit <u>1651</u> June 22, 2004

Dr. Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner

Group 1600 Art Unit 1651